arXiv:1406.2425v1 [math.CO] 10 Jun 2014

Wreath determinants for group-subgroup pairs

Kei HAMAMOTO, Kazufumi KIMOTO*,
Kazutoshi TACHIBANA and Masato WAKAYAMA†

June 10, 2014

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to generalize the notion of the group determinants for finite groups. For a finite group G and its subgroup H, one may define a rectangular matrix of size $|H| \times |G|$ by $X = \big(x_{hg^{-1}}\big)_{h\in H, g\in G}$, where $\{x_g \,|\, g \in G\}$ are indeterminates indexed by the elements in G. Then, we define an invariant $\Theta(G, H)$ for a given pair (G, H) by the k-wreath determinant of the matrix X, where k is the index of H in G. The k-wreath determinant of n by kn matrix is a relative invariant of the left action by the general linear group of order k and right action by the wreath product of two symmetric groups of order k and n. Since the definition of $\Theta(G, H)$ is *ordering-sensitive*, representation theory of symmetric groups are naturally involved. In this paper, we treat abelian groups with a special choice of indeterminates and give various examples of non-abelian group-subgroup pairs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* 20C15, *Secondary* 20C30, 20E22, 05E15.

Keywords and phrases: group determinants, wreath determinants, finite groups, symmetric groups, characters, Cayley graphs.

1 Introduction

It is Frobenius who initiated the character theory of finite groups [2]. At the very first stage of his study, the *group determinant* $\Theta(G)$ of a given finite group G defined as the determinant of the group matrix $\big(x_{uv^{-1}}\big)_{u,v\in G}$

$$\Theta(G) := \det\big(x_{uv^{-1}}\big)_{u,v\in G}, \tag{1}$$

where $\{x_g \,|\, g \in G\}$ are indeterminates indexed by the elements in G, played an important role. (One should note that the definition of $\Theta(G)$ is independent of the choice of the ordering of elements in G.) Indeed, the group determinant $\Theta(G)$ reflects the structure of the regular representation of G, which contains all the irreducible representation of G. The factorization of $\Theta(G)$ is corresponding to the irreducible decomposition of the regular representation, and the irreducible character values appear as coefficients in the factors. In 1991, Formanek and Sibley [3] shows that two groups are isomorphic if and only if their group determinants coincide under a suitable correspondence between the sets of indeterminates for these groups:

$$\Theta(G) = \Theta(G') \iff G \cong G'. \tag{2}$$

Namely, the group determinant is a perfect invariant for finite groups.

In this paper, we extend the notion of group determinants. Actually, we define an invariant $\Theta(G, H)$ for a given pair (G, H), G being a finite group and H its subgroup, by employing the *wreath determinant* [5]. For a positive integer k, the *k-wreath determinant* wrdet_k is a polynomial function on the set of n by kn matrices for each positive integer n characterized by (i) multilinearity in column vectors, (ii) relative GL_n-invariance from the left, and (iii) $\mathfrak{S}_k^n$-invariance with respect to permutations in columns (see Section 2.1 for the precise definition). Roughly, $\Theta(G, H)$ is defined to be

$$\Theta(G, H) := \mathrm{wrdet}_k\big(x_{hg^{-1}}\big)_{\substack{h\in H\\ g\in G}},$$

*Partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 25400044 of JSPS.
†Partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research No. 25610006 of JSPS.

where $k = [G : H]$ is the index of H in G. In fact, since wrdet_k is *not* relative invariant under general permutations in columns (i.e. the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{kn}$ from the right), we should take account of the *ordering* of G to define $\Theta(G, H)$. This is a crucial difference from $\Theta(G)$. We note that $\Theta(G, G)$ is nothing but the original group determinant $\Theta(G)$ since the 1-wreath determinant is the ordinary determinant.

It would be fundamental and natural to explore an analog of the Frobenius character theory as well as e.g. Formanek-Sibley type theorems for $\Theta(G, H)$. There are, however, certain obstacles in the study. One of the most essential one is the fact that the definition of $\Theta(G, H)$ is *ordering-sensitive*; If we exchange the ordering of the columns in the matrix $\left(x_{hg^{-1}}\right)_{h\in H, g\in G}$ (sometimes called as a group-subgroup matrix), then its wreath determinant becomes rather different from the one before manipulated. Actually, one needs to taking account of representations of symmetric groups of order kn and k. Therefore, as a small first step, we analyze $\Theta(G, H)$ when G is a finite *abelian* group under a certain *specialization of indeterminates*, in which case the difficulties mentioned above are fairly reduced. We give a factorization of $\Theta(G, H)$ when H is a direct product of several components in $G = \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_l\mathbb{Z}$ and the indeterminates are specialized to powers of another indeterminate q according to a suitably chosen ordering of elements in G. Imitating the result and proof for finite abelian groups, we give also certain computations for non-abelian group-subgroup pairs under some particular condition. In the last section, we will give several examples for non-abelian groups as well as another specializations of indeterminates. The examples include what we call a Cayley specialization, which is intimately related to the graph theory [8].

2 Wreath determinants for group-subgroup pairs

2.1 Alpha-determinants and wreath determinants

Let α be a complex parameter. The *alpha-determinant* of a square matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_n$ is defined by

$$\det_\alpha X = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{\nu(\sigma)} x_{\sigma(1)1} \dots x_{\sigma(n)n}, \tag{3}$$

where $\nu(\sigma) = \sum_{j\geq 2}(j-1)c_j(\sigma)$, $c_j(\sigma)$ being the number of j-cycles in σ. (The notion of alpha determinants was first introduced by Vere-Jones [10] as "the α-permanent". By [9], it was renamed as the alpha determinant.)

For an n by kn matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,kn}$, the *$k$-wreath determinant* of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{wrdet}_k X = \det_{-1/k}(X \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k,1}), \tag{4}$$

where $A \otimes B$ denotes the Kronecker product of A and B, $\mathbf{1}_{k,1}$ is the k by 1 all-one matrix [5]. We note here that if we look at the irreducible decomposition of the GL_n-cyclic module generated by $\det_\alpha X$, the distinguished phenomena happens when $\alpha = -1/k$ $(k = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$ so that $\det_{-1/k}$ shares some basic property of determinants [7]. This can be seen from the fact that each number $\pm 1/k$ is a root of content polynomials [6]. Remark that $\det_{-1} = \det$ and $\det_1 = \mathrm{per}$, the permanent.

Example 1. The 2-wreath determinant of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{wrdet}_2 A &= \det_{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(a_1a_2b_3b_4 + b_1b_2a_3a_4) - \frac{1}{8}(a_1b_2a_3b_4 + a_1b_2b_3a_4 + b_1a_2a_3b_4 + b_1a_2b_3a_4) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_4 \\ b_2 & b_4 \end{vmatrix} + \frac{1}{8}\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_4 \\ b_1 & b_4 \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is fundamental (see [5] for the proof and [4]).

Proposition 1. *Let k, n be positive integers. Put $f(X) = \operatorname{wrdet}_k X$ for $X \in M_{n,kn}$. Then f is a map from $M_{n,kn}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *f is multilinear with respect to columns.*

(2) *$f(gX) = (\det g)^k f(X)$ for any $g \in GL_n$.*

(3) *$f(XP(\tau)) = f(X)$ for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k^n$, where $P(\tau) = (\delta_{i\tau(j)})$ is the permutation matrix for τ.*

Conversely, if a map $f\colon M_{n,kn} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the three conditions above, then f equals the k-wreath determinant up to constant multiple. □

Remark 1. The right $\mathfrak{S}_k^n$-invariance of the k-wreath determinant above extends to the relative right $\mathfrak{S}_k \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$-invariance

$$\operatorname{wrdet}_k XP(g) = (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma)^k \operatorname{wrdet}_k X, \qquad g = (\tau, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_k \wr \mathfrak{S}_n,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_k \wr \mathfrak{S}_n = \mathfrak{S}_k^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the wreath product of $\mathfrak{S}_k$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n$, which we regard as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{kn}$.

2.2 Wreath determinants associated with a pair (G, H)

Let G be a finite group of order $m = kn$, and H be a subgroup of G of order n. Suppose that a bijection $\phi\colon \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\} \to G$ called an *ordering of G* is given. We put $g_i := \phi(i)$ for short.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $f\colon G \to R$ be a map called a *specialization*. We sometimes write $f(g) = x_g$ or $f(\phi(i)) = f(g_i) = x_i$ for short. Define

$$\Theta(G, H, \phi, f) := \operatorname{wrdet}_k X(G, H, \phi, f), \qquad X(G, H, \phi, f) := \Big(f(h_i g_j^{-1})\Big)_{\substack{0 \le i < n \\ 0 \le j < m}}, \tag{5}$$

where wrdet_k denotes the k-wreath determinant and $H = \{h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}\}$. If the ordering ϕ and the specialization f are clear in the context, then we omit them and write simply $\Theta(G, H)$.

Example 2. $\Theta(G, f) := \Theta(G, G, \phi, f) = \det(f(g_i g_j^{-1}))$ is the ordinary group determinant. In this case, the ordering ϕ is irrelevant.

Example 3. We have $\Theta(G, \{e\}, \phi, f) = \frac{k!}{k^k} \prod_{g \in G} f(g)$. If $f(g) = x_{o(g)}$ for $g \in G$, where $o(g)$ is the order of g, then $\Theta(G, \{e\}, \phi, f) = \frac{k!}{k^k} \prod_{i \ge 1} x_i^{\#\{g \in G \mid o(g) = i\}}$ tells us the distribution of orders of elements in G.

Example 4. Let $G = \{g_0 = e, g_1 = a, g_2 = a^2, g_3 = a^3\}$ be the cyclic group of order 4 with the 'standard' ordering, and take $H = \{h_0 = g_0 = e, h_1 = g_2 = a^2\}$. We have

$$X(G, H) = \begin{pmatrix} f(h_0 g_0^{-1}) & f(h_0 g_1^{-1}) & f(h_0 g_2^{-1}) & f(h_0 g_3^{-1}) \\ f(h_1 g_0^{-1}) & f(h_1 g_1^{-1}) & f(h_1 g_2^{-1}) & f(h_1 g_3^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(e) & f(a^3) & f(a^2) & f(a) \\ f(a^2) & f(a) & f(e) & f(a^3) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(G, H) &= \frac{1}{8} \begin{vmatrix} f(e) & f(a^2) \\ f(a^2) & f(e) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} f(a^3) & f(a) \\ f(a) & f(a^3) \end{vmatrix} + \frac{1}{8} \begin{vmatrix} f(e) & f(a) \\ f(a^2) & f(a^3) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} f(a^3) & f(a^2) \\ f(a) & f(e) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(f(e)^2 - f(a^2)^2)(f(a^3)^2 - f(a)^2) + \frac{1}{8}(f(e)f(a^3) - f(a)f(a^2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $f(g_i) = f(a^i) = q^i \in \mathbb{C}[q]$, then we have

$$\Theta(G, H) = -\frac{1}{8} q^2 (1 - q^4)^2.$$

Example 5. Let $G = \{g_0 = e, g_1 = a, g_2 = b, g_3 = ab\}$ be the Klein four-group (i.e. $a^2 = b^2 = e$, $ab = ba$), and take subgroups $H = \{e, a\}$, $H' = \{e, b\}$ and $H'' = \{e, ab\}$ of order 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(G, H) &= \operatorname{wrdet}_2 \begin{pmatrix} f(e) & f(a) & f(b) & f(ab) \\ f(a) & f(e) & f(ab) & f(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}(f(e)f(ab) - f(a)f(b))^2 - \frac{1}{8}(f(e)f(b) - f(a)f(ab))^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Theta(G,H') = \mathrm{wrdet}_2 \begin{pmatrix} f(e) & f(a) & f(b) & f(ab) \\ f(b) & f(ab) & f(e) & f(a) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{8}(f(e)^2 - f(b)^2)(f(a)^2 - f(ab)^2) + \frac{1}{8}(f(e)f(a) - f(b)f(ab))^2,$$

$$\Theta(G,H'') = \mathrm{wrdet}_2 \begin{pmatrix} f(e) & f(a) & f(b) & f(ab) \\ f(ab) & f(b) & f(a) & f(e) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{8}(f(e)f(a) - f(b)f(ab))^2 + \frac{1}{8}(f(e)^2 - f(ab)^2)(f(a)^2 - f(b)^2).$$

If we assume that $f(g_i) = q^i \in \mathbb{C}[q]$, then we have

$$\Theta(G,H) = -\frac{1}{8}q^4(1-q^2)^2, \qquad \Theta(G,H') = \frac{1}{4}q^2(1-q^4)^2, \qquad \Theta(G,H'') = \frac{1}{8}q^2(1-q^2)^2(2+3q^2+2q^4),$$

which are different from each other. Thus $H \cong H'$ does not imply $\Theta(G,H) = \Theta(G,H')$ in general.

Remark 2. Denote by $[H]$ the set of all isomorphism classes of H in G. Then, as the example above shows, the map $[H] \to \Theta(G,H)$ is a multivalued function. The precise/deep understanding of this fact would be important. For instance, does the collection $\{\Theta(G,H)\}_{[H]}$ determine an isomorphism class of the pair (G,H)?

3 Finite abelian group-subgroup pair case

3.1 Standard ordering and principal specialization

Let $\mathbb{Z}_r = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} = \{0,1,2,\dots,r-1\}$ be the cyclic group of order r, where we write j to indicate $j + r\mathbb{Z}$ for simplicity.

Assume that $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_l}$. Put

$$M_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i \qquad (j = 1,2,\dots,l), \qquad m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_l = \#G, \tag{6}$$

and fix the ordering ϕ_{st} by

$$g_i = \phi_{\mathrm{st}}(i) = \Big(\lfloor i/M_1 \rfloor \bmod m_1, \dots, \lfloor i/M_l \rfloor \bmod m_l \Big) \qquad (i = 0,1,\dots,m-1), \tag{7}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer which is not greater than x and $a \bmod b$ denotes the remainder of a divided by b. We call ϕ_{st} the *standard ordering*. We also take a specialization $f_{\mathrm{pr}} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}[q]$ as $f_{\mathrm{pr}}(g_i) = q^i$, which we call the *principal specialization*.

Example 6. When $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have

$$g_0 = (0,0,0), \quad g_1 = (1,0,0), \quad g_2 = (2,0,0), \quad g_3 = (0,1,0), \quad g_4 = (1,1,0), \quad g_5 = (2,1,0),$$
$$g_8 = (0,0,1), \quad g_7 = (1,0,1), \quad g_8 = (2,0,1), \quad g_9 = (0,1,1), \quad g_{10} = (1,1,1), \quad g_{11} = (2,1,1).$$

3.2 Result

Let $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l$ be positive integers such that $n_s \mid m_s$ for each s. We put $k_s = m_s/n_s$ and

$$M_s = \prod_{i<s} m_i \quad (s = 1,2,\dots,l), \qquad N_s = \prod_{i<s} n_i \quad (s = 1,2,\dots,l),$$
$$m = \prod_{s=1}^{l} m_s, \qquad n = \prod_{s=1}^{l} n_s, \qquad k = \prod_{s=1}^{l} k_s.$$

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_l}$. We take a subgroup $H = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_l}$, where we regard $\mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$ as the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{m_s}$ generated by k_s: $\mathbb{Z}_{m_s} = \{0, k_s, 2k_s, \dots, (m_s-1)k_s\}$. Notice that $\#G = m$, $\#H = n$ and $[G:H] = k$. In this case, we have

$$X(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \Big(q^{\varepsilon_l(i,j)}\Big)_{\substack{0 \le i < n \\ 0 \le j < m}}, \qquad \varepsilon_l(i,j) = \sum_{s=1}^{l} M_s((k_s \lfloor i/N_s \rfloor - \lfloor j/M_s \rfloor) \bmod m_s). \tag{8}$$

Then the following factorization of the wreath determinant for a finite abelian group-subgroup pair holds.

Theorem 2. *Retain the assumption and notation above. Then one has*

$$\Theta(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})=\omega^{(k^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n\prod_{s=1}^{l}q^{mM_s(k_s-1)/2}\prod_{s=1}^{l}(q^{M_sm_s}-1)^{m(1-1/n_s)},\tag{9}$$

where σ and τ are permutations of m letters determined by the conditions

$$(I_{n_l}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k_l})\otimes\cdots\otimes(I_{n_1}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k_1})=(I_n\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k})P(\sigma),$$
$$P((1\ 2\ \ldots\ m_l))\otimes\cdots\otimes P((1\ 2\ \ldots\ m_1))=P(\tau).$$

The function $\omega^{(k^n)}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_m$ is defined by

$$\omega^{(k^n)}(x)=\frac{1}{(k!)^n}\sum_{g\in\mathfrak{S}_k^n}\chi^{(k^n)}(xg)\qquad(x\in\mathfrak{S}_m),$$

where $\chi^{(k^n)}$ is the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ corresponding to the partition $(k^n)=(k,\ldots,k)\vdash m$.

The proof of the theorem will be given in the subsequent subsection.

Example 7 (Cyclic group case)**.** If $l=1$, then (9) reads

$$\Theta(\mathbb{Z}_m,\mathbb{Z}_n,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})=\omega^{(k^n)}(\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n q^{m(k-1)/2}(q^m-1)^{k(n-1)},\qquad\tau=(1\ 2\ \ldots\ m).$$

By Remark 5.5 in [4], we have

$$\omega^{(k^n)}(\tau^{-1})=\omega^{(k^n)}(\tau)=\frac{\text{the coefficient of }(x_{11}x_{22}\ldots x_{nn})^{k-1}x_{12}x_{23}\ldots x_{n1}\text{ in }(\det X)^k}{|\mathfrak{S}_k^n:\mathfrak{S}_k^n\cap\tau^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_k^n\tau|}.$$

It is elementary to see that

$$\text{the coefficient of }(x_{11}x_{22}\ldots x_{nn})^{k-1}x_{12}x_{23}\ldots x_{n1}\text{ in }(\det X)^k=(-1)^{n-1}k$$

and

$$\big|\mathfrak{S}_k^n:\mathfrak{S}_k^n\cap\tau^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_k^n\tau\big|=\frac{k!^n}{(k-1)!^n}=k^n.$$

Thus we have

$$\omega^{(k^n)}(\tau)=\Big(-\frac{1}{k}\Big)^{n-1}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\Theta(\mathbb{Z}_m,\mathbb{Z}_n,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})=\Big(-\frac{1}{k}\Big)^{n-1}\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n q^{m(k-1)/2}(q^m-1)^{k(n-1)}.\tag{10}$$

Example 8. If $n_s=m_s$ for $s=1,2,\ldots,r$ and $n_s=1$ for $s=r+1,\ldots,l$, then (9) reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta(\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{Z}_{m_l},\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{Z}_{m_r},\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})\\&=\omega^{(k^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n\prod_{s=r+1}^{l}q^{mM_s(m_s-1)/2}\prod_{s=1}^{r}(q^{M_sm_s}-1)^{m(1-1/n_s)}\\&=\omega^{(k^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n q^{n^2k(k-1)/2}\left\{\prod_{s=1}^{r}(q^{m_1m_2\ldots m_s}-1)^{n(1-1/n_s)}\right\}^k.\end{aligned}$$

Example 9. Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_n\times\mathbb{Z}_n$ and consider the subgroups $H=\mathbb{Z}_n\times\mathbb{Z}_1$, $H'=\mathbb{Z}_1\times\mathbb{Z}_n$ of G. We have

$$\Theta(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})=\omega^{(n^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{n!}{n^n}\Big)^n q^{n^3(n-1)/2}(q^n-1)^{n(n-1)},$$
$$\Theta(G,H',\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}})=\omega^{(n^n)}(\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{n!}{n^n}\Big)^n q^{n^2(n-1)/2}(q^{n^2}-1)^{n(n-1)},$$

where the permutations $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n^2}$ are given by the conditions

$$P(\tau) = P((1\ 2\ \dots\ n)) \otimes P((1\ 2\ \dots\ n)), \qquad \mathbf{1}_{1,n} \otimes I_n = (I_n \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,n})P(\sigma).$$

By a similar calculation given in Example 7, we see that $\omega^{(n^n)}(\tau^{-1}) = 1$ and

$$\omega^{(n^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \frac{\mathrm{AT}(n)}{n!^n}, \qquad \mathrm{AT}(n) = \text{the coefficient of } \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} x_{ij} \text{ in } (\det X)^n.$$

Hence we have

$$\Theta(G, H, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \frac{\mathrm{AT}(n)}{n^{n^2}} q^{n^3(n-1)/2} (q^n - 1)^{n(n-1)},$$
$$\Theta(G, H', \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \Big(\frac{n!}{n^n}\Big)^n q^{n^2(n-1)/2} (q^{n^2} - 1)^{n(n-1)}.$$

When $n = 2$, for instance, we have $\sigma = (2\ 3)$, $\tau = (1\ 4)(2\ 3)$, and

$$\omega^{(2^2)}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad \omega^{(2^2)}(\tau^{-1}) = 1.$$

This partially recovers Example 5. In the case where $H'' = \Delta\mathbb{Z}_n := \{(x, x) \,|\, x \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, the wreath determinant $\Theta(G, H'', \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}})$ would not have simple expression.

Remark 3. The number $|\mathrm{AT}(n)|$ is equal to the difference of the numbers of even and odd *Latin squares* of size n. It is conjectured that $\mathrm{AT}(n) \neq 0$ if n is even (Alon-Tarsi Conjecture [1]). It is easy to see that $\mathrm{AT}(n) = 0$ if n is odd and $n \geq 3$.

3.3 Proof of the theorem

Put

$$T(m, n; x) := \big(x^{(ki-j) \bmod m}\big)_{\substack{0 \leq i < n \\ 0 \leq j < m}} \qquad (m = kn) \tag{11}$$

and $T(m; x) := T(m, m; x)$. Notice that $\Theta(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \mathrm{wrdet}_k T(m, n; q)$. It is elementary to see the

Lemma 3. *It holds that* $\det T(m; x) = (1 - x^m)^{m-1}$. □

We notice the following elementary fact on the Kronecker product of two matrices: If

$$A = \big(a(i, j)\big)_{\substack{0 \leq i < m, \\ 0 \leq j < n}} \qquad B = \big(b(i, j)\big)_{\substack{0 \leq i < p, \\ 0 \leq j < s}}$$

then we have

$$A \otimes B = \Big(a(\lfloor i/p \rfloor, \lfloor j/s \rfloor) b(i \bmod p, j \bmod s)\Big)_{\substack{0 \leq i < mp \\ 0 \leq j < ns}}. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 4. *It holds that*

$$T(m, n; x) = P(\sigma) \cdot T(n; x^k) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,k} \cdot I_n \otimes \mathrm{diag}(x^{k-1}, \dots, x, 1) \cdot P(\tau)^{-1}, \tag{13}$$

where $m = kn$, $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \dots\ n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *and* $\tau = (1\ 2\ \dots\ m) \in \mathfrak{S}_m$.

Proof. The (i, j)-entry of $P(\sigma)^{-1} T(m, n; x) P(\tau)$ is

$$x^{(k\sigma(i) - \tau(j)) \bmod m} = x^{(k(i+1) - (j+1)) \bmod m}.$$

On the other hand, the (i, j)-entry of $T(n; x^k) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,k} \cdot I_n \otimes \mathrm{diag}(x^{k-1}, \dots, x, 1)$ is given by

$$x^{e(i,j)}, \qquad e(i, j) = k\big\{(i - \lfloor j/k \rfloor) \bmod n\big\} + k - 1 - (j \bmod k).$$

Since

$$0 \leq e(i, j) < m, \qquad k\big\{(i - \lfloor j/k \rfloor) \bmod n\big\} = (ki - k \lfloor j/k \rfloor) \bmod m, \qquad j = k \lfloor j/k \rfloor + (j \bmod k),$$

we have

$$e(i, j) = e(i, j) \bmod m = \Big(ki - k \lfloor j/k \rfloor + k - 1 - (j \bmod k)\Big) \bmod m = \Big(k(i+1) - (j+1)\Big) \bmod m$$

as desired. □

Lemma 5. *It holds that*

$$X(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = T(m_l,n_l;q^{M_l})\otimes\cdots\otimes T(m_2,n_2;q^{M_2})\otimes T(m_1,n_1;q^{M_1}).$$

Proof. The assertion is trivial when $l=1$. In view of (8) and (12), it suffices to prove that

$$\varepsilon_{r+1}(i,j) = M_{r+1}((k_{r+1}\lfloor i/N_{r+1}\rfloor - \lfloor j/M_{r+1}\rfloor) \bmod m_{r+1}) + \varepsilon_r(i \bmod N_{r+1}, j \bmod M_{r+1})$$

for $r\geq 1$. For this purpose, we have only to see

$$M_s((k_s\lfloor i/N_s\rfloor) - \lfloor j/M_s\rfloor) \equiv M_s((k_s\lfloor (i \bmod N_{r+1})/N_s\rfloor) - \lfloor (j \bmod M_{r+1})/M_s\rfloor) \pmod{m_s}$$

when $s\leq r$. This is easily verified since $n_s \mid (N_{r+1}/N_s)$ and $m_s \mid (M_{r+1}/M_s)$. □

By the lemmas above, we have

$$X(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = TJDP(\tau)^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T &= P(\sigma_l)T(n_l;q^{k_lM_l})\otimes\cdots\otimes P(\sigma_1)T(n_1;q^{k_1M_1}),\\ J &= (I_{n_l}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,k_l})\otimes\cdots\otimes(I_{n_1}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k_1}),\\ D &= (I_{n_l}\otimes \mathrm{diag}(q^{(k_l-1)M_l},\dots,q^{M_l},1))\otimes\cdots\otimes(I_{n_1}\otimes\mathrm{diag}(q^{(k_1-1)M_1},\dots,q^{M_1},1)), \end{aligned}$$

and $\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_m$ is determined by

$$P(\tau) = P(\tau_l)\otimes\cdots\otimes P(\tau_1) \qquad (\tau_s = (1\ 2\ \dots\ m_s)\in\mathfrak{S}_{m_s}).$$

We see that

$$J = (I_n\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k})P(\sigma)$$

for some $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m$. Thus we have

$$X(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = T\cdot(I_n\otimes\mathbf{1}_{1,k})P(\sigma\tau^{-1})\cdot P(\tau)DP(\tau)^{-1}.$$

It follows then

$$\mathrm{wrdet}_k\, X(G,H,\phi_{\mathrm{st}},f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \omega^{(k^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1})\Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n(\det T)^k\det D.$$

Since $\det P(\sigma_s) = (-1)^{n_s-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\det T)^k &= \prod_{s=1}^{l}\Big((q^{M_sm_s}-1)^{n_s-1}\Big)^{kn/n_s} = \prod_{s=1}^{l}(q^{M_sm_s}-1)^{m(1-1/n_s)},\\ \det D &= \prod_{s=1}^{l}(q^{n_sM_sk_s(k_s-1)/2})^{m/m_s} = \prod_{s=1}^{l}q^{mM_s(k_s-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Direct product case

4.1 Products of orderings and specializations

Assume that $G = G_1\times\cdots\times G_l$, and each G_s is a group of order m_s equipped with an ordering ϕ_s and a specialization $f_s\colon G_s\to R_s$, where R_s is a ring. Take a subgroup $H = H_1\times\cdots\times H_l$ of G, where H_s is a subgroup of G_s of order n_s for each s. We put $k_s = m_s/n_s$. Let us fix a complete system of representatives $Z_s = \{z_0^s, z_1^s,\dots,z_{k_s-1}^s\}$ for each coset G_s/H_s. We suppose that each ordering ϕ_s is a *homogeneous ordering* in the sense that

$$\phi_s(k_si+j) = z_j^s\ \phi_s(k_si) \quad (0\leq j<k_s,\ 0\leq i<n_s), \qquad H_s = \{\phi_s(k_si)\,|\,0\leq i<n_s\}\,.$$

Put

$$M_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots, l), \qquad m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_l = \#G, \tag{14}$$

and take an ordering ϕ given by

$$g_i = \phi(i) = \Big(\phi_1(\lfloor i/M_1 \rfloor \bmod m_1), \dots, \phi_l(\lfloor i/M_l \rfloor \bmod m_l)\Big) \qquad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1). \tag{15}$$

We also take a specialization $f\colon G \to R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$ given by

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_l)) = f_1(x_1)^{M_1} \cdots f_l(x_l)^{M_l} \qquad (x_s \in G_s).$$

We have then

$$X(G, H, \phi, f) = \left(\prod_{s=1}^{l} f_s\big(\phi_s((k_s \lfloor i/N_s \rfloor - \lfloor j/M_s \rfloor) \bmod m_s)\big)^{M_s} \right)_{\substack{0 \le i < n \\ 0 \le j < m}}.$$

By the same machinery in the discussion of the previous section, we have

$$X(G, H, \phi, f) = X(G_l, H_l, \phi_l, f_l^{M_l}) \otimes \cdots \otimes X(G_2, H_2, \phi_2, f_2^{M_2}) \otimes X(G_1, H_1, \phi_1, f_1^{M_1}),$$

where $f_s^{M_s}$ denotes the map which sends $g \in G_s$ to $f_s(g)^{M_s} \in R_s$.

4.2 Special homogeneous case

We look at the case where $l = 1$. We put

$$H = \{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}\}, \qquad Z = \{z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}\},$$

so that we have $G = \{zh \,|\, z \in Z, h \in H\}$. We choose $h_0 = z_0$ to be the identity of G. The homogeneous ordering of G is

$$\phi(ik + j) = z_j h_i \qquad (0 \le i < n,\ 0 \le j < k). \tag{16}$$

If we can factor the matrix $X(G, H, \phi, f)$ as

$$X(G, H, \phi, f) = P(\sigma) \cdot X(H, f) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,k} \cdot I_n \otimes \Psi(Z) \cdot P(\tau)^{-1}, \qquad \Psi(Z) = \operatorname{diag}(\psi(z_0), \psi(z_1), \dots, \psi(z_{k-1}))$$

for some $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and some function $\psi\colon Z \to R$, then we call the specialization f to be *separable* along with ψ. If f is separable, then we have

$$\Theta(G, H, \phi, f) = (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma)^k \omega^{(k^n)}(\tau^{-1}) \Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} \psi(z_s)^n \Theta(H, f)^k.$$

Example 10. If $H = G$, then we have

$$X(G, G, \phi, f) = P(e) \cdot X(G, f) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,1} \cdot I_n \otimes \Psi \cdot P(e)^{-1}, \qquad \Psi = (1).$$

Hence f is separable.

Example 11. If $H = \{e\}$, then we have

$$X(G, \{e\}, \phi, f) = P(e) \cdot X(\{e\}, f) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,m} \cdot I_1 \otimes \Psi \cdot P(e)^{-1}, \qquad \Psi = \operatorname{diag}(\psi(g_0), \psi(g_1), \dots, \psi(g_{m-1})),$$

where $\psi(g) = f(g^{-1})/f(e)$. Hence f is separable.

By the same discussion in the finite abelian case, we have the

Theorem 6. *Let $G_1, \dots, G_l$ be finite groups, and H_s be a subgroup of G_s for each $s = 1, \dots, l$. Fix a complete system of representatives Z_s for each coset G_s/H_s. Denote by ϕ_s, f_s homogeneous orderings and specializations for (G_s, H_s) respectively. If each specialization f_s is separable along with a function ψ_s, then the wreath determinant for the pair $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_l$ and $H = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_l$ is*

$$\Theta(G, H) = (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma)^k \omega^{(k^n)}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) \Big(\frac{k!}{k^k}\Big)^n \prod_{s=1}^{l} \Psi(Z_s)^{m/k_s} \prod_{s=1}^{l} \Theta(H_s; f_s^{M_s})^{m/n_s},$$

where $m_s = \#G_s$, $n_s = \#H_s$, $k_s = \#Z_s$, $m = \#G$, $n = \#H$, $k = \#G/H$ and σ, τ are certain permutations of m letters. □

5 Further examples

5.1 Order specialization and Cayley specialization

In the main part of the paper, we exclusively discuss the wreath determinant $\Theta(G, H, \phi, f)$ with the principal specialization $f = f_{\mathrm{pr}}$ defined by $f_{\mathrm{pr}}(g_i) = q^i \in \mathbb{C}[q]$. In what follows, we introduce two more kinds of specializations and give several examples concerning such specializations.

5.1.1 Order specialization

We consider the specialization $f_{\mathrm{ord}} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}[q]$ defined by $f_{\mathrm{ord}}(g) = q^{o(g)-1}$, where $o(g)$ is the order of g.

Example 12. For $G = \mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, we have

$$f_{\mathrm{ord}}(0) = 1, \quad f_{\mathrm{ord}}(1) = q^5, \quad f_{\mathrm{ord}}(2) = q^2, \quad f_{\mathrm{ord}}(3) = q, \quad f_{\mathrm{ord}}(4) = q^2, \quad f_{\mathrm{ord}}(5) = q^5.$$

5.1.2 Cayley specialization

Let S be a symmetric generating set of G. Then (G, S) defines an undirected graph so called *Cayley graph*. For $x, y \in G$, denote by $d(x, y)$ the Cayley distance between x and y (i.e. the length of the shortest path connecting x and y in the Cayley graph (G, S)). We call the specialization $f_{\mathrm{Cay}} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}[q]$ defined by $f_{\mathrm{Cay}}(g) = q^{d(g,e)}$ the *Cayley specialization* with respect to S.

Example 13. If $G = \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $S = \{\text{transpositions}\}$, then

$$d(g, e) = \nu(g) = n - (\text{number of cycles in } g).$$

5.2 Dihedral groups

Let $G = D_m = \left\langle \sigma, \tau \,\middle|\, \sigma^m = \tau^2 = e,\ \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^{-1} \right\rangle$ be the dihedral group of degree m. We set

$$\phi_{\mathrm{st}}(im + j) = g_{im+j} = \tau^i \sigma^{j-1} \qquad (i = 0, 1,\ j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1), \tag{17}$$

which we call the standard ordering of D_m.

Example 14. When $G = D_3$, we have

$$g_0 = e, \quad g_1 = \sigma, \quad g_2 = \sigma^2, \quad g_3 = \tau, \quad g_4 = \tau\sigma, \quad g_5 = \tau\sigma^2.$$

We give several examples of the wreath determinants $\Theta(D_m, H, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}})$.

Example 15. Since

$$X(D_m, \langle\tau\rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^m \\ q^m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,m} \right) \cdot (I_2 \otimes \mathrm{diag}(1, q, \ldots, q^{m-1})) \cdot P(\tau)$$

for a certain $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m^2$, we have

$$\Theta(D_m, \langle\tau\rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \omega^{(m,m)}(\tau) \left(\frac{m!}{m^m}\right)^2 q^{m(m-1)} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^m \\ q^m & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m = \left(\frac{m!}{m^m}\right)^2 q^{m(m-1)} (1 - q^{2m})^m.$$

Example 16. Suppose that m is even, and write $m = 2k$. Since

$$X(D_m, \langle\sigma^k\rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^k \\ q^k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1,m} \right) \cdot (I_2 \otimes \mathrm{diag}(1, q, \ldots, q^{k-1}, q^{2k}, q^{2k+1}, \ldots, q^{3k-1})) \cdot P(\tau)$$

for a certain $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m^2$, we have

$$\Theta(D_m, \langle\sigma^k\rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \omega^{(m,m)}(\tau) \left(\frac{m!}{m^m}\right)^2 q^{k(k-1)+k(5k-1)} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^k \\ q^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m = \left(\frac{m!}{m^m}\right)^2 q^{2k(3k-1)} (1 - q^m)^m.$$

Remark 4. Though the example above seems to suggest that $X(D_m, \langle \sigma^k \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}})$ is calculated explicitly when $m = kn$ for some positive integer n, it may not be so simple. For instance, we have

$$X(D_6, \langle \sigma^2 \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) = -\frac{3}{2^{18}} q^{42}(1-q^2)^4(1-q^6)^4\, A,$$

where $A = 3 + 12q^2 + 6q^4 - 44q^6 - 84q^8 - 44q^{10} + 6q^{12} + 12q^{14} + 3q^{16}$.

Example 17. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(D_2, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= -\frac{1}{2^3} q^4(1-q^2)^2,\\
\Theta(D_3, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^5} q^9(1-q^2)^2(1-q^3)^2(1+2q-4q^3-2q^4),\\
\Theta(D_4, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= -\frac{1}{2^6} q^{16}(1-q^2)^2(1-q^4)^4(1-3q^2+q^4),\\
\Theta(D_5, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^9} q^{25}(1-q^2)^2(1-q^5)^6(1+2q-4q^2-10q^3+3q^4+20q^5+8q^6-4q^7-2q^8),\\
\Theta(D_6, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= -\frac{1}{2^{11}} q^{36}(1-q^2)^2(1-q^6)^8(4-22q^2+39q^4-22q^6+4q^8).
\end{aligned}$$

Example 18 (Order specializations)**.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(D_2, \langle \tau \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{ord}}) &= \frac{1}{2^3} q^2(1-q)^2,\\
\Theta(D_3, \langle \tau \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{ord}}) &= \frac{2^2}{3^5} q^4(1-q^2)^3,\\
\Theta(D_4, \langle \tau \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{ord}}) &= -\frac{3}{2^{12}} q^6(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2\left(1+8q+8q^3+q^4\right),\\
\Theta(D_5, \langle \tau \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{ord}}) &= \frac{2^6 3^2}{5^9} q^8(1-q^2)^2(1-q^6)^3(1-3q^2+q^4),\\
\Theta(D_6, \langle \tau \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{st}}, f_{\mathrm{ord}}) &= -\frac{5}{2^6 3^9} q^{10}(1-q)^6\, A,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A = 6 + 40q + 120q^2 + 252q^3 + 425q^4 + 612q^5 + 774q^6 + 884q^7 + 923q^8\\
+ 884q^9 + 774q^{10} + 612q^{11} + 425q^{12} + 252q^{13} + 120q^{14} + 40q^{15} + 6q^{16}.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 19. Let $H = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $Z = \{e, \tau\}$.

$$\phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(2i + j) = \tau^j \sigma^i \qquad (i = 0, 1,\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1).$$

Then

$$\phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(0) = e, \quad \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(1) = \tau, \quad \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(2) = \sigma, \quad \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(3) = \tau\sigma, \quad \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(4) = \sigma^2, \quad \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}(5) = \tau\sigma^2, \quad \ldots$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(D_2, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^2} q^2(1-q^4)^2,\\
\Theta(D_3, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^5} q^3(1-q^2)^2(1-q^6)^2(4+8q^2+6q^4+2q^6+q^8),\\
\Theta(D_4, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^6} q^4(1-q^4)^2(1-q^8)^4(4+q^8),\\
\Theta(D_5, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^9} q^5(1-q^2)^2(1-q^{10})^6(16+32q^2+8q^4-16q^6+14q^{10}+8q^{12}+2q^{14}+q^{16}),\\
\Theta(D_6, \langle \sigma \rangle, \phi_{\mathrm{hom}}, f_{\mathrm{pr}}) &= \frac{1}{2^{10}} q^6(1-q^4)^2(1-q^{12})^8(16-16q^4+12q^8-q^{12}+q^{16}).
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 Symmetric groups

Let $G = \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the symmetric group of degree n. We order the elements in G lexicographically.

Example 20. When $G = \mathfrak{S}_3$, we have

$$g_0 = 123, \quad g_1 = 132, \quad g_2 = 213, \quad g_3 = 231, \quad g_4 = 312, \quad g_5 = 321$$

in one-line notation.

Example 21 (Group determinants for $\mathfrak{S}_n$)**.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\mathfrak{S}_2, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= 1 - q^2, \\
\Theta(\mathfrak{S}_3, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^5(1 - 4q^2), \\
\Theta(\mathfrak{S}_4, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^{23}(1 - 4q^2)^{10}(1 - 9q^2), \\
\Theta(\mathfrak{S}_5, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^{119}(1 - 4q^2)^{78}(1 - 9q^2)^{17}(1 - 16q^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Example 22 (Group determinants for A_n)**.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(A_3, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^2(1 + 2q^2), \\
\Theta(A_4, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^{11}(1 + 11q^2), \\
\Theta(A_5, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) &= (1 - q^2)^{59}(1 - 4q^2)^{18}(1 + 6q^2)^{16}(1 + 35q^2 + 24q^4).
\end{aligned}$$

5.4 Cayley graph for group-subgroup pair

Example 23. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $H = \{0, 3, 6, 9\} < G$ and $S = \{2, 4, 5, 7, 8\} \subset G$. From the triplet (G, H, S), we construct an undirected graph whose vertices are elements of G in such a way that h and $h + s$ are connected by an edge if and only if (i) $h \in H$ and $s \in S \setminus H$ or (ii) $h \in H$ and $s \in S \cap H$ [8]. See Figure 1. Then we have

$$\mathrm{wrdet}_3\big(q^{d(h,g)}\big)_{h\in H, g\in G} = \frac{2^7}{3^{11}} q^8 (1 - q^2)^6 (1 - q^4)^3,$$

where $d(g, h)$ denotes the distance between two vertices g and h on the graph constructed above. Notice that the distance $d(g, h)$ depends only on the difference $h - g$ for any $h \in H$ and $g \in G$. On the other hand, we have

$$\Theta(G, H, f_{\mathrm{Cay}}) = -\frac{2^4}{3^{11}} q^8 (1 - q)^8 (1 - q^2)(5 + 12q + 25q^2 + 52q^3 + 43q^4 + 12q^5 - q^6)$$

for the Cayley specialization f_{Cay} for the Cayley graph $(G, S \cup (-S))$.



Figure 1: Graphs for (G, H, S) and $(G, S \cup (-S))$

Remark 5. If one considers another specialization defined by $x_s = 1$ for $s \in S$ and $x_g = 0$ otherwise for a group-subgroup pair, one may have a matrix corresponds to the rows associated with the elements of H in the adjacency matrix of the group-subgroup pair graph, which is a generalization of the Cayley graphs. See §2 in [8].

References

[1] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, Coloring and orientations of graphs. *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 125–143.

[2] C. W. Curtis, "Pioneers of Representation Theory: Frobenius, Burnside, Schur, and Brauer." AMS & LMS, History of Mathematics **15**, 1999.

[3] E. Formanek and D. Sibley, The group determinant determines the group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), no. 3, 649–656.

[4] K. Kimoto, Averages of alpha-determinants over permutations. Preprint, 2014. `arXiv:1403.3723`

[5] K. Kimoto and M. Wakayama, Invariant theory for singular α-determinants. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), no. 1, 1–31.

[6] I. G. Macdonald, "Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Second Edition." Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.

[7] S. Matsumoto and M. Wakayama, Alpha-determinant cyclic modules of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. *J. Lie Theory* **16** (2006), no. 2, 393–405.

[8] C. Reyes-Bustos, Group-Subgroup Pair Graph. Preprint, 2014.

[9] T. Shirai and Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants. I. Fermion, Poisson and boson point processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **205** (2003), no. 2, 414–463.

[10] D. Vere-Jones, A generalization of permanents and determinants. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **63** (1988), 267–270.

Kei Hamamoto
Graduate School of Mathematics,
Kyushu University
774 Motooka, Fukuoka 812-1234 JAPAN
`ma212034@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

Kazufumi Kimoto
Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of the Ryukyus
1 Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213 JAPAN
`kimoto@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp`

Kazutoshi Tachibana
Shuyukan High School
6-1-10 Nishijin, Sawara-Ku, Fukuoka 814-8510 JAPAN
`tachibana-k3@fku.ed.jp`

Masato Wakayama
Institute of Mathematics for Industry,
Kyushu University
774 Motooka, Fukuoka 812-1234 JAPAN
`wakayama@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp`